Reprint

ISSN 1991-3036 (Web Version)

International Journal of Sustainable Crop Production (IJSCP)

(Int. J. Sustain. Crop Prod.)

Volume: 16

Issue: 1

February 2021

Int. J. Sustain. Crop Prod. 16(1): 7-14 (February 2021) GENETIC ANALYSIS FOR HEAT TOLERANCE IN SPRING WHEAT M.S. ALAM, M.A. SABAH, A HOQUE, N.A. SIDDQUIE, M. SALAHIN AND U.K. ROY



GENETIC ANALYSIS FOR HEAT TOLERANCE IN SPRING WHEAT

M.S. ALAM*¹, M.A. SABAH¹, A HOQUE¹, N.A. SIDDQUIE², M. SALAHIN¹ AND U.K. ROY³

¹Department of Agronomy and Agricultural Extension, University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh; ²Senior Scientific Officer, On-Farm Research Division, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Rajshahi, Bangladesh; ³Department of Botany, University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh.

*Corresponding author & address: Dr. Md. Shamiul Alam, E-mail: sami@ru.ac.bd Accepted for publication on 10 January 2021

ABSTRACT

Alam MS, Sabah MA, Hoque A, Siddquie NA, Salahin M, Roy UK (2021) Genetic analysis for heat tolerance in spring wheat. Int. J. Sustain. Crop Prod. 16(1), 7-14.

To assess genetic variability, heritability, and genetic advance in wheat. Twenty wheat genotypes were studied in nonstress (Irrigated timely sowing) and heat stress (Irrigated late sowing) conditions. The analysis of variances showed highly significant variations (P<0.01) among the genotypes for yield, yield contributing, phenological and physiological characters in both optimum and late sowing heat stress conditions. It was observed that there was a pronounced effect of heat stress on the yield of wheat and reduced the grain yield by about 34.49%. Under optimum sowing conditions, the genotypes showed minimum variations (both for GCV and PCV) for most of the phenological, physiological, and yield contributing traits. In late sowing condition, the chlorophyll content of flag leaf at grain filling stage, biomass, grains spike⁻¹, 1000-grain weight, and grain yield showed more than 10% variation and the rest of showed less amount of genotypic and phenotypic variations. Estimates of heritability for different phenological, physiological and yield contributing traits in ITS condition ranged between 43.29 to 99.20%. Similarly, the estimates of heritability for different phenological, physiological and yield contributing traits in ILS condition ranged between 27.27 to 93.22%. The traits heading days, maturity days, canopy temperature at the vegetative stage, canopy temperature at grain filling stage, biomass, plant height, spikes m⁻², grains spike⁻¹, and grain yield exhibited high heritability estimates under ILS condition. Under ITS condition, the traits heading days, plant height, spikes m², grains spike⁻¹, 1000-grain weight, and grain yield exhibited more than 10% genetic advance in % of mean and the rest exhibited less than 10%. Biomass, grains spike⁻¹ and grain yield had high h_b^2 , high GA in % of mean along with a wide range of genetic variation and lower environmental influence under heat stress condition. Direct phenotypic selection for these traits will be rewarding.

Key words: variability, heritability, genetic advance, heat tolerance and wheat

INTRODUCTION

Wheat is one of the major cereals that plays an important role to maintain world food security. It occupies the 2^{nd} position next to rice among the cereals in Bangladesh. The demand of wheat is increasing day by day (Hossain et al. 2020). Among the different crop genotypes, wheat is showing greater genetic variability and diversity in every corner of the world. Climate change is projecting perilous effects on agricultural production all over the world. Wheat production is to be reduced by about 6% and 18% in timely sown irrigated and late sown wheat respectively as reported by Shetty et al. 2013. The enhancement of surface temperature and night temperature clearly demarcated the impact of greenhouse gases. Wheat is a temperate cereal with an optimum temperature regime of 15–18°C during the grain filling stage (Lobell *et al.* 2011) but the daily high temperature of 25–30°C or greater is common across many regions where wheat is grown (Mohammadi and Karimizadeh, 2012). In late sown condition, wheat is often affected due to a sudden rise in temperature resulting in the initiation of the reproductive phase even before completion of the required period for vegetative growth of the crop (Barma et al. 2019; Hossain et al. 2020, 2019, 2013). This reduces the tillers, spike length, and grain size subsequently causing a substantial loss in yield. Asseng et al. (2015) reported that an increase of 1°C reduces grain yield by 6%. Physiological parameters such as chlorophyll content, canopy temperature, and normalized difference vegetation index are widely used as indicators of wheat heat tolerance (Hazratkulova et al. 2012). In addition, the effect of climate change is also evident in the quality of wheat, as increased heat results in shriveled wheat grains (Tadesse et al. 2013). To adopt new crop varieties to the future climate, we need to understand how crops respond to elevated temperatures and how tolerance to heat can be improved (Halford 2009). Success in crop improvement generally depends on the magnitude of genetic variability and the extent to which the desirable characters are important. Germplasm evaluation will be of great significance for the selection of heat-tolerant genotypes and for improving grain yield under high temperatures. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the genetic diversity in wheat germplasm in order to broaden the genetic variation in future wheat breeding for increasing production. Thus, the objectives of the research were to identify new sources for the development of heat-tolerant high-yielding wheat genotypes which can be utilized as donor hybridization programme.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted at the Agronomy Field Laboratory, Dept. of Agronomy and Agricultural Extension, University of Rajshahi during 2018-19. There were two experiments. The first experiment covered the period from mid-November 2018 to mid-March 2019 and the second experiment was covered from the last week of December 2018 to the first week of April 2019 and considered as optimum sowing condition and late sowing condition respectively.

Alam et al.

Table 1. List of the twenty wheat genotypes used in the experiment

Entry code	Source		
G 01 (BARI Gham 21) check	BARI		
G 02 (BARI Gham 26) check	BARI		
G 03	CIMMYT		
G 04	CIMMYT		
G 05	CIMMYT		
G 06	CIMMYT		
G 07	CIMMYT		
G 08	CIMMYT		
G 09	CIMMYT		
G 10	CIMMYT		
G 11	CIMMYT		
G 12	CIMMYT		
G 13	CIMMYT		
G 14	CIMMYT		
G 15	CIMMYT		
G 16	CIMMYT		
G 17	CIMMYT		
G 18	CIMMYT		
G 19	CIMMYT		
G 20	CIMMYT		

The soil of the experimental field is silty clay of Gangetic alluvial type having slightly alkaline with a pH value of 7.1 to 8.5, low in organic matter and fertility level. Winter to early dry summer climate prevailed during the experiment. Twenty wheat genotypes (varieties/lines) were used for conducting the study which is presented in Table 1. The experiment was laid out in an Alpha Lattice Design (ALD) with two replications. The experimental plot was first divided into two super-blocks; each super-block was sub-divided into 4 sub-blocks and finally, each sub-block was further divided into 5 plots where genotypes were assigned randomly. Seeds of each genotype were sown in a unit plot size of 5m long with 4 rows. Plot to plot distance of 40 cm, sub-block to sub-block distance of 60 cm, and super-block to a super-block distance of 1.5 m were maintained. The seeds were sown by hand continuously in lines (line to line distance was 20 cm) on 18 November 2018 as optimum sowing and on 22 December 2018 as late sowing in separate but adjacent areas. Each plot was seeded @ 120 kg ha⁻¹ to establish a uniform plant population of about 200 plants m⁻². Different intercultural operations were done timely. The harvesting for the optimum sowing experiment was completed by mid-March 2019 and the late sowing experiment by the first week of April 2019. Data were collected based on different yield contributing, phenological and physiological characters. The collected data were subjected to analysis by using STAR (Statistical Tools for Agricultural Research) Programme.

Estimation of genotypic and phenotypic variances

The genotypic and phenotypic variances were estimated according to the formula suggested by Johnson *et al.* (1955). The error MS was considered as environmental variances (σ_e^2). Genotypic variances (σ_g^2) and phenotypic variances (σ_p^2) were calculated using the following formula-

$$\sigma_{g}^{2} = \frac{GMS - EMS}{r}$$
 with (n-1) df
 $\sigma_{p}^{2} = \sigma_{g}^{2} + \sigma_{e}^{2}$

where, GMS and EMS are the genotypic mean squares and error mean squares respectively and r is the number of replications.

Estimation of genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV)

The genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variations were estimated according to the formula suggested by Burton (1952).

Genotypic coefficient of variation, GCV (%) = $\frac{\sigma_g \times 100}{\bar{r}}$

where, σ_g = Genotypic standard deviation and \bar{x} = Population mean.

Similarly, the phenotypic coefficient of variation was calculated from the following formula-

Phenotypic coefficient of variation, PCV (%) = $\frac{\sigma_{g \times 100}}{\pi}$

where, σ_p = Phenotypic standard deviation and \bar{x} = Population mean.

Estimation of heritability

Heritability in the broad sense (h_b^2) was estimated for different traits by the formula suggested by Johnson *et al.* (1955) and Hanson et al. (1956). Heritability estimates from a single environment was completed using the following formula-

Heritability in the broad sense, $h_b^2 = \frac{\sigma_g^2}{\sigma_p^2} \times 100$ where, σ_g^2 = Genotypic variance and, σ_p^2 = Phenotypic variance.

Estimation of genetic advance (GA)

The expected genetic advance (GA) for different traits under selection was estimated using the formula suggested by Johnson et al. (1955).

Genetic advance (GA) = $h_{h.i.}^2 \sigma_n$

where, h_b^2 = Heritability in broad sense (decimal);

- i = Selection differential, the value of which is 1.76 at 10% level of selection intensity and
- σ_p = Phenotypic standard deviation.

Estimation of genetic advance in percent of mean

The genetic advance in percent of mean was calculated by using the following formula proposed by Comstock and Robinson (1952) as follows:

GA % mean = $\frac{GA}{\bar{x}} \times 100$

where, GA = Genetic advance $\bar{x} = Population$ mean.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Variations among the genotypes and their response to selection for phenological, physiological and different vield contributing characters under ITS and ILS conditions are presented in Table 2 and 3.

Heading days (HD)

The co-efficient of variation (CV%) was very low for this trait under both ITS (0.97%) and ILS (0.87%) conditions which indicates that the reliability level of results for this trait was high. Under ITS condition, the lower and close GCV (10.82%) and PCV (10.87%) indicated a narrow range of genotypic variability along with less influence of environment for the expression of this trait. Under ILS condition, the GCV (2.84%) and PCV (2.97%) was low and close to each other which also indicated narrow range of genotypic variability along with less influence of environment for the expression of this trait. Barma et al. (1990) and Rahman (2009) reported a narrow range of variation among genotypes for this trait. Under ITS condition, high estimates of broad-sense heritability (99.2) along with lower genetic advance in percent of mean (18.97) indicate that predominance of non-additive gene action was present. Under ILS condition, the estimates of broad-sense heritability (91.39) was high and genetic advance in percent of mean (4.78) was low. The above results suggest that improvement through phenotypic selection for this trait is feasible under both ITS and ILS condition but it may not be rewarding.

Maturity days (MD)

The co-efficient of variation (CV%) was very low for this trait under both ITS (1.04%) and ILS (0.55%) conditions which indicates that the reliability level of results for this trait was high. Under ITS condition, the low and close GCV (3.51%) and PCV (3.67%) indicated narrow range of genotypic variability along with less influence of environment for the expression of this trait. Under ILS condition, the GCV (0.8%) and PCV (0.97%) was very low and close to each other which also indicated narrow range of genotypic variability along with less influence of environment for the expression of this trait. Patil et al. (2003) also reported low GCV and PCV for this trait. Under ITS condition, the broad-sense heritability was high (91.92) and genetic advance in percent of mean was lower (5.93) indicates that predominance of non-additive gene action was present. Under ILS condition, the broad-sense heritability was higher (68.03) and genetic advance in percent of mean was low (1.17). The above results suggest that the probability of improvement through phenotypic selection for this trait is few to zero under ITS condition and zero under ILS condition.

Canopy temperature at the vegetative stage (CT_{vg})

The co-efficient of variation (CV%) was very low for this trait under both ITS (1.89%) and ILS (1.77%) conditions which indicates that the reliability level of results for this trait was high. Under ITS condition, the PCV (2.64%) was higher than GCV (1.84%) indicating that the environment had played a major role in the expression of this trait. Under ILS condition, the GCV (3.47%) and PCV (3.89%) were low and close to each other which indicated a narrow range of genotypic variability along with less influence of environment for the expression of this trait. Under ITS condition, the broad-sense heritability was moderate (48.56) and genetic advance in percent of mean was low (2.25) indicates that predominance of non-additive gene action was present. Reynolds *et al.* (1997) reported sensitivity of canopy temperature to environmental fluxes along with moderate heritability. Under ILS condition, the broad-sense heritability was higher (79.35) and genetic advance in percent of mean was lower (5.44) also indicates that predominance of non-additive gene action was present. Selection for this trait will not be effective for both ITS and ILS conditions.

Table 2. Components of phenotypic variation, heritability and genetic advance for phenological and physiological characters in optium and late sown conditions

Components	HD	MD	CT _{vg}	CT _{gf}	SPAD	Biomass
ITS						
Ranges	53.50-83.00	98.00-110.50	22.25-24.15	24.20-28.70	38.05-46.60	9457.50-12722.50
σ_{p}^{2}	44.91	12.81	0.18	1.23	6.25	548533.95
σ_{p}^{2}	45.27	13.93	0.37	1.34	8.26	893848.78
GCV (%)	10.82	3.51	1.84	4.45	6.09	6.61
PCV (%)	10.87	3.67	2.64	4.43	7.01	8.44
$h_{b}^{2}(\%)$	99.20	91.92	48.56	91.97	75.65	61.37
GA % (i=10%)	11.74	6.04	0.52	1.87	3.83	1021.14
GA % of mean	18.97	5.93	2.25	7.17	9.33	9.12
CV (%)	0.97	1.04	1.89	1.26	3.46	5.25
ILS						
Ranges	58.50-64.50	84.50-87.50	19.35-21.95	25.55-30.10	28.75-44.50	5330.0-9610.0
σ_{p}^{2}	3.02	0.48	0.52	1.88	9.10	1325141.55
σ_{p}^{2}	3.31	0.70	0.66	2.12	15.88	1539705.05
GCV (%)	2.84	0.80	3.47	4.86	8.06	15.14
PCV (%)	2.97	0.97	3.89	5.17	10.65	16.32
$h_{b}^{2}(\%)$	91.39	68.03	79.35	88.43	57.32	86.06
GA % (i=10%)	2.92	1.00	1.13	2.27	4.02	1879.56
GA % of mean	4.78	1.17	5.44	8.05	10.74	24.72
CV (%)	0.87	0.55	1.77	1.76	6.96	6.09

ITS= Irrigated timely sowing, ILS= Irrigated late sowing, Heading days, MD= Maturity days, CT_{vg} = Canopy temperature at vegetative stage, CT_{gf} = Canopy temperature at grain filling stage, SPAD= Chlorophyll content at grain filling stage, σ^2_g = Genotypic variances, σ^2_p = Phenotypic variances, GCV= Genotypic co-efficient of variation, PCV= Phenotypic coefficient of variation, h^2_b = Broad sense heritability, GA= Geneticadvance.

Table 3. Components of phenotypic variation, heritability and genetic advance for yield and primary yield contributing characters in optium and late sown conditions

Components	РН	SPM	SPS	GPS	TGW	Grain Yield
ITS						
Ranges	57.0-100.0	325.50-473.50	14.60-21.60	31.10-52.70	31.12-45.08	3850.0-5050.0
σ_{p}^{2}	88.24	952.95	1.55	42.57	10.41	109328.95
σ_{p}^{2}	106.78	1157.24	3.58	48.70	12.37	138338.82
GCV (%)	10.45	8.09	7.25	15.83	8.02	7.44
PCV(%)	11.49	8.91	11.02	16.93	8.74	8.37
$h_{b}^{2}(\%)$	82.64	82.35	43.29	87.41	84.14	79.03
GA %	15.03	49.30	1.44	10.74	5.21	517.34
GA % of mean	16.71	12.92	8.40	26.05	12.95	11.65
CV (%)	4.79	3.75	8.30	6.01	3.48	3.84
ILS						
Ranges	67.0-97.0	312.50-408.0	15.75-20.0	27.50-53.15	23.05-32.85	1875.0-3656.50
σ^2_{p}	43.16	832.89	0.49	36.29	7.67	278341.30
σ_{p}^{2}	56.07	1009.43	1.19	43.68	13.32	298577.25
GCV (%)	7.41	8.16	3.99	16.33	10.02	18.13
PCV (%)	8.44	8.98	6.22	17.91	13.21	18.78
$h_{b}^{2}(\%)$	76.98	82.51	41.19	83.09	57.57	93.22
GA %	10.14	46.14	0.79	9.66	3.70	896.52
GA % of mean	11.43	13.05	4.51	26.19	13.38	30.82
CV (%)	4.05	3.76	4.77	7.36	8.60	4.89

ITS= Irrigated timely sowing, ILS= Irrigated late sowing, PH= Plant height, SPM= Spikes per meter², SPS= Spikelets per spike, GPS= Grain per spike, TGW=1000-grain weight, HI= Harvest index, σ_g^2 = Genotypic variances, σ_p^2 = Phenotypic variances, GCV= Genotypic co-efficient of variation, PCV= Phenotypic coefficient of variation, h_b^2 = Broad sense heritability, GA= Genetic advce

Canopy temperature at grain filling stage (CT_{gf})

The co-efficient of variation (CV%) was very low for this trait under both ITS (1.26%) and ILS (1.76%) conditions which indicates that the reliability level of results for this trait was high under ITS condition, the GCV (4.45%) and PCV (4.43%) was low and close to each other which indicated narrow range of genotypic variability along with less influence of environment for the expression of this trait. Under ILS condition, the PCV (5.17%) was higher than GCV (4.86%) indicating that the environment had played a major role in the expression of this trait. Under ITS condition, the broad-sense heritability was high (91.97) and genetic advance in percent of mean was lower (7.17) indicates that predominance of non-additive gene action was present. Under ILS condition, the thebroad-sense heritability was high (88.43) and genetic advance in percent of mean was lower (8.05) also indicates that predominance of non-additive gene action was present. Rahman (2009) observed lower genetic advance along with moderate heritability for canopy temperature in spring wheat. The above results suggest that the probability of improvement through phenotypic selection for this trait is few to zero under both ITS and ILS conditions.

Chlorophyll content of flag leaf at grain filling stage (SPAD)

The co-efficient of variation (CV%) was very low for this trait under both ITS (3.46%) and ILS (6.96%) conditions which indicates that the reliability level of results for this trait was high. Under ITS condition, the PCV (7.01%) was higher than GCV (6.09%) indicating that the environment had played a major role for the expression of this trait. Under ILS condition, the PCV (10.65%) was much higher than GCV (8.06%) indicating that the environment had played a significant role for the expression of this trait. Under ITS condition, the PCV (10.65%) was much higher than GCV (8.06%) indicating that the environment had played a significant role for the expression of this trait. Under ITS condition, the broad sense heritability was higher (75.65) and genetic advance in percent of mean was lower (9.33) indicates that predominance of non-additive gene action was present. Under ILS condition, the broad sense heritability was moderate (57.32) and genetic advance in percent of mean was moderate (10.74) indicates that both additive and non-additive gene action were present. The direct phenotypic selection has limited chances for the improvement of this trait under both ITS and ILS conditions. Barma (2005) reported a moderately high estimate of broad-sense heritability coupled with a moderate genetic advance in percent of mean for chlorophyll content. Similar result was obtained by Mishra and Marker (2013) for chlorophyll content.

Biomass

The co-efficient of variation (CV%) was very low for this trait under both ITS (5.25%) and ILS (6.09%) conditions which indicates that the reliability level of results for this trait was high. Under ITS condition, the PCV (8.44%) was much higher than GCV (6.61%) indicating that the environment had played a significant role for the expression of this trait. Under ILS condition, the PCV (16.32%) was higher than GCV (15.14%) indicating that the environment had played a major role for the expression of this trait. Under ITS condition, the broad-sense heritability was slightly higher (61.37) and genetic advance in percent of mean was lower (9.12) indicates that predominance of non-additive gene action was present. Under ILS condition, the broad-sense heritability was high (86.06) and genetic advance in percent of mean was higher (24.72) indicates that additive fixable gene action was present. In case of ITS condition, limited improvement may be possible through the phenotypic selection and in case of ILS condition, the phenotypic selection will be rewarding. Sharma *et al.* (1995) found high co-efficient of variation, high heritability and high genetic advance in percent of mean for this trait.

Plant height (PH)

The co-efficient of variation (CV%) was very low for this trait under both ITS (4.79%) and ILS (4.05%) conditions which indicates that the reliability level of results for this trait was high. Under ITS condition, the PCV (11.49%) was higher than GCV (10.45%) indicating that the environment had played a major role for the expression of this trait. Under ILS condition, the PCV (8.44%) was higher than GCV (7.41%) indicating that the environment had played a major role for the expression of this trait. Under ILS condition, the PCV (8.44%) was higher than GCV (7.41%) indicating that the environment had played a major role for the expression of this trait.Under ITS condition, the broad-sense heritability was high (82.64) and genetic advance in percent of mean was moderate (16.71) indicates that both additive and non-additive gene actions were present.Under ILS condition, the broad-sense heritability was higher (76.98) and genetic advance in percent of mean was moderate (11.43) indicates that both additive and non-additive gene actions were present. Patel *et al.* (2012) found high heritability and moderate genetic advance in percent of mean for this trait. The direct phenotypic selection has limited chances for the improvement of this trait under both ITS and ILS conditions.

Spikes m⁻² (SPM)

The co-efficient of variation (CV%) was very low for this trait under both ITS (3.75%) and ILS (3.76%) conditions which indicates that the reliability level of results for this trait was high. Under ITS condition, the PCV (8.91%) was slightly higher than GCV (8.09%) indicating that the environment had played a decent role for the expression of this trait. Under ILS condition, the PCV (8.98%) was slightly higher than GCV (8.16%) indicating that the environment had played a decent role for the expression of this trait. Under ILS condition, the PCV (8.98%) was slightly higher than GCV (8.16%) indicating that the environment had played a decent role for the expression of this trait.

broad sense heritability was high (82.35) and genetic advance in percent of mean was moderate (12.92 indicates that both additive and non-additive gene actions were present. Similar results were reported by Choudhary *et al.* 2015; Ramanuj *et al.* 2018; Raaj *et al.* 2018; and Thakur *et al.* 2018. The above authors also reported the PCV value being more than the GCV values for all the traits studied by them in wheat genotypes. Under ILS condition, the broad sense heritability was high (82.51) and genetic advance in percent of mean was moderate (13.05) indicates that both additive and non-additive gene actions were present. Chandra *et al.* (2004) also found high heritability and moderate genetic advance in percent of mean for this trait. The direct phenotypic selection has limited chances for the improvement of this trait under both ITS and ILS conditions.

Spikelets spike⁻¹ (SPS)

The co-efficient of variation (CV%) was very low for this trait under both ITS (8.30%) and ILS (4.77%) conditions which indicates that the reliability level of results for this trait was high. Under ITS condition, the PCV (11.02%) was much higher than GCV (7.25%) indicating that the environment had played a significant role in the expression of this trait. Under ILS condition, the PCV (6.22%) was much higher than GCV (3.99%) indicating that the environment had played a significant role for the expression of this trait. Under ITS condition, the PCV (6.22%) was much higher than GCV (3.99%) indicating that the environment had played a significant role for the expression of this trait. Under ITS condition, the broad-sense heritability was moderate (43.29) and genetic advance in percent of mean was lower (8.40) indicates that predominance of non-additive gene action was present. Under ILS condition, the broad-sense heritability was moderate (41.19) and genetic advance in percent of mean was lower (4.51) indicates that predominance of non-additive gene action was present. Phenotypic selection may provide some improvement under both ITS and ILS conditions but it may not be effective. The above finding of heritability were in agreement with the work of Ramanuj *et al.* (2018), Kumar *et al.* (2018), Hakimi *et al.* (2017) and Kumar *et al.* (2017).

Grains spike⁻¹ (GPS)

The co-efficient of variation (CV%) was very low for this trait under both ITS (6.01%) and ILS (7.36%) conditions which indicates that the reliability level of results for this trait was high. Under ITS condition, the PCV (16.93%) was higher than GCV (15.83%) indicating that the environment had played a major role for the expression of this trait.Under ILS condition, the PCV (17.91%) was higher than GCV (16.33%) indicating that the environment had played a major role for the expression of this trait.Under ILS condition, the PCV (17.91%) was higher than GCV (16.33%) indicating that the environment had played a major role for the expression of this trait. Under ITS condition, the broad sense heritability was high (87.41) and genetic advance in percent of mean was higher (26.05) indicates that additive fixable gene action was present. Under ILS condition, the broad sense heritability was high (83.09) and genetic advance in percent of mean was higher (26.19) also indicates that additive fixable gene action was present. Similar result was obtained by Mishra and Marker (2013) for grains spike⁻¹, tillers plant⁻¹, plant height and spike length. These results are also in accordance with that of Riaz-ud-din *et al.* (2010) under normal and late planting conditions.

1000-grain weight (TGW)

The co-efficient of variation (CV%) was very low for this trait under both ITS (3.48%) and ILS (8.60%) conditions which indicates that the reliability level of results for this trait was high. Under ITS condition, the PCV (8.74%) was slightly higher than GCV (8.02%) indicating that the environment had played a decent role for the expression of this trait. Under ILS condition, the PCV (13.21%) was much higher than GCV (10.02%) indicating that the environment had played a significant role for the expression of this trait. Under ITS condition, the PCV (13.21%) was much higher than GCV (10.02%) indicating that the environment had played a significant role for the expression of this trait. Under ITS condition, the broad sense heritability was high (84.14) and genetic advance in percent of mean was moderate (12.95) indicates that both additive and non-additive gene actions were present. Under ILS condition, the broad sense heritability was moderate (57.37) and genetic advance in percent of mean was moderate (13.38) indicates that both additive and non-additive gene actions were present. Phenotypic selection may provide some improvement for this trait under both ITS and ILS conditions but it may not be rewarding. Similar result was obtained by Riaz-ud-din *et al.* (2010) under normal and late planting conditions. High value of heritability for 1000-Grain weight in wheat have also been reported by Naveen *et al.* (2014), Rajput (2018) and Kyosev in different genotype of wheat.

Grain yield

The co-efficient of variation (CV%) was very low for this trait under both optium (3.48%) and late sowing (8.60%) conditions which indicates that the reliability level of results for this trait was high. Under optium condition, the PCV (8.37%) was slightly higher than GCV (7.44%) indicating that the environment had played a decent role for the expression of this trait. Underlate sowing condition, the PCV (18.78%) was slightly higher than GCV (18.13%) indicating that the environment had played a decent role for the expression of this trait. Underlate sowing condition, the PCV (18.78%) was slightly higher than GCV (18.13%) indicating that the environment had played a decent role for the expression of this trait.Under optium condition, the broad-sense heritability was higher (79.03) and genetic advance in percent of mean was moderate (11.65) indicates that both additive and non-additive gene actions were present. Under ILS condition, the broad-sense heritability was high (93.22) and genetic advance in percent of mean was high (30.82) which indicates that additive fixable gene action was present. Phenotypic selection may provide some improvement for this trait under optium sowing condition but under late sowing condition, improvement

through phenotypic selection will be rewarding. The results obtained are in agreement with the results reported by Singh *et al.* 2013 and Khairnar *et al.* 2018.

CONCLUSION

The present study showed the presence of considerable variations among wheat genotypes for some traits with high heritability and genetic advance which gives an opportunity to plant breeders for the improvement of these traits. It is interesting to note that the differences between GCV and PCV values were minimum implying influence of additive gene effects and least influence of environment. Hence selective could be effective for these traits. The significant impact of the heat stress on performance of the wheat genotypes especially on the grain yield underlines the urgent need for breeding for heat tolerance. However, the significant genotypic effect obtained indicates the existence of sufficient genetic variability among the wheat genotypes that can be exploited in the heat tolerance breeding programs. Reliably, genotypes G14, G15, and G20 are highly tolerant to heat stress with high yield under late sowing environments.

REFERENCES

Asseng S, Ewert F, Martre P, Rötter RP, Lobell DB, Cammarano D, Kimball BA, Ottman MJ, Wall GW, White JW (2015) Rising temperatures reduce global wheat production. Nat. Clim. Chang. 5: 143–147.

Barma NCD (2005) Genetic study of morpho-physiological traits related to heat tolerance in spring wheat. Ph.D. Thesis, Dept. of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh.

Barma NCD, Hossain A, Hakim MA, Mottaleb KA, Alam MA, Reza MM, Rohman MM (2019) Progress and challenges of wheat production in the era of climate change: a Bangladesh perspective. In: Wheat production in changing environments. Springer, Singapore. pp. 615-679. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-6883-7_24

Barma NCD, Khan SK, Mian MAK, Islam A (1990) Variability and interrelationships of eight quantitative characters in bread wheat (*T. aestivum* L.). *Bangladesh J. PI. Breed. Genet.* 3(1&2), 71-75.

Burton GW (1952) Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proc. Sixth Int. Grassland Cong. 1, 277-283.

Chandra D, Islam MA, Barma NCD (2004) Variability and interrelationship of nine quantitative characters in bulks of five wheat crosses. *Pakistan J. Biol. Sci.* 7(6), 1040-1045.

Choudhary RC, Sharma NK, Kumar R, Kumar M (2015) Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in wheat under different normal and heat stressed environments. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 6(4), 1082-1087.

Comstock RE, Robinson HF (1952) Genetic parameters, their estimation and significance. Proc. Sixth Int. Grassland Cong. 1: 284-291.

Hakimi N, Lavanya GR, Babu GS, Ali A (2017) Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for metric traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Int. J. Multidisciplinary Res. and Development*, 4(7), 354-358.

Halford NG (2009) New insights on the effects of heat stress on crops. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 60(15), 4215-4216.

Hanson CH, Robinson HF, Comstock RF (1956) Biometrical studies of yield in segregating population of Korean Lespedeza. *Agron. J.* 48, 268-272.

Hazratkulova S, Sharma R, Alikulov S, Islomov S, Yuldashev T, Ziyaev Z, Khalikulov Z, Ziyadullaev Z, Turok J (2012) Analysis of genotypic variation for normalized difference vegetation index and its relationship with grain yield in winter wheat under terminal heat stress. Plant Breed. 131: 716–721.

Hossain A, Islam MT, Islam MT (2020) Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in the Rice-Wheat Systems of South Asia Is Influenced by Terminal Heat Stress at Late Sown Condition: A Case in Bangladesh. In: Plant Stress Physiology, Hossain, A. (editor). IntechOpen, London, UK. http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.91828

Hossain A, Mottaleb KA, Fafhad M, Barma NC (2019) Mitigating the twin problems of malnutrition and wheat blast by one wheat variety," BARI Gom 33", in Bangladesh. Acta Agrobotanica. 72(2). DOI: 10.5586/aa.1775

Hossain A, Teixeira da, Silva JA (2013) Wheat production in Bangladesh: its future in the light of global warming. AoB Plants. 5. pls042, <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/pls042</u>

Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE (1955) Estimation of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. *Agron. J.* 47, 314-318.

Khairnar SS, Bagwan JH, Yashavanthakumar KJ, Baviskar VS, Honrao BK, Surve VD, Khade VM, Chavan AM, Bankar BN (2018) Studies on genetic variability parameters and character association in bread wheat

Alam et al.

(*Triticum aestivum* L.) under timely and late sown environments of irrigated condition. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 9(1), 190–198.

Kumar A, Swati AK, Adhikari S, Prasad B (2018) Genetic dissection of wheat genotypes using morphophysiological traits for terminal heat tolerance. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*, 7(2), 367-372.

Kumar J, Kumar M, Kumar A, Singh SK, Singh L (2017) Estimation of genetic variability and heritability in bread wheat under abiotic stress. *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 5(1), 156-163.

Lobell DB, Schlenker W, Costa-Roberts J (2011) Climate trends and global crop production scince 1980. Science 333(6042), 616–620.

Mishra R, Marker S (2013) Evaluation of wheat genotypes for heat stress under late shown conditions of Allahabad Region. Trends in Biosciences 6(5), 625–627.

Mohammadi M, Karimizadeh R (2012) Insight into heat tolerance and grain yield improvement in wheat in warm rainfed regions of Iran. *Crop Breeding Journal* 1(2), 56–62.

Naveen K, Markar S, Kumar V (2014) Studies on heritability and genetic advance estimates in timely sown bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Bioscience Disc., 5(1), 64-69.

Patel A, Chaudhar PR, Verulkar SB (2012) Analysis of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield components in wheat under different water regimes. Plant Archives, 12(1), 425-435.

Patil PV, SarawgiAK, Shrivastava MN (2003) Genetic analysis of yield and quality traits in traditional accessions of wheat. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ., 28(3), 225-258.

Raaj N, Singh SK, Kumar A, Kumar A (2018) Assessment of variability parameters in wheat in relation to terminal heat tolerance. journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry; 7(6), 2155-2160.

Rahman MM (2009) Genetic variability and genotype-environment interaction in wheat. M.S. Thesis, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Gazipur.

Rajput RS (2018) Correlation, path analysis, heritability and genetic advance for morpho-physiological character on bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(2), 107-112.

Ramanuj BD, Delvadiya IR, Patel NB, Ginoya AV (2018) Evalution of Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Genotypes for Heat Tolerance under Timely and Late Sown Conditions . *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 6(1), 225-233.

Ramanuj BD, Delvadiya IR, Patel NB, Ginoya AV (2018) Evalution of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes for heat tolerance under timely and late sown conditions. *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci*, 6(1), 225-233.

Reynolds MP, Nagrajan S, Razzaque MA, Ageeb OAA (1997) Use of canopy temperature depression to select for yield potential of wheat in heat-stressed environments. Wheat Program Special Report Nu. 42, Mexico, D.F., CIMMYT.

Riaz-ud-din, Subhani GM, Ahmad N, Hussain M, Rehman AU (2010) Effect of temperature on development and grain formation in spring wheat. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* 42(2), 899–906.

Sharma DJ, Yadav RK, Sharma PK (1995) Genetic variability and association of some yield components in winter X spring nursery of wheat. *Adv. In. PI. Sci.* 8(1), 95-99.

Shetty PK, Ayyappan S, Swaminathan MS (2013) Climate Change and Sustainable Food Security, ISBN: 978-81-87663–76–8, National Institute of Advanced Studies, Bangalore and Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delli.

Singh MK, Sharma PK, Tyagi BS, Singh G (2013) Genetic analysis for morphological traits and protein content in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under normal and heat stress environments indian J. Genet., 73(3), 320-324.

Tadesse W, Morgounov AI, Braun HJ, Akin B, Keser M, Kaya Y (2013) Breeding progressfor yield and adaptation of winter wheat targeted to irrigated environments at the nternational winter wheat improvement program (IWWIP), Euphytica, 194(2), 177-185.

Thakur P, Upadhyay P, Rashmi K, Namrata, Prasad R, Chandra K, Madhukar K, Prasad LC (2018) Study of Genetic Variability, Path Analysis and Diversity of Selected Germplasm Lines of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), Under Very Late Sown Condition. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*, 9(2), 203-208.