

Reprint

ISSN 1991-3036 (Web Version)

International Journal of Sustainable Crop Production (IJSCP)

(Int. J. Sustain. Crop Prod.)

Volume: 9

Issue: 3

November 2014

Int. J. Sustain. Crop Prod. 9(3): 35-40 (November 2014)

MORPHO-PHYSIOLOGICAL AND FLOWERING BEHAVIOR OF BOUGAINVILLEA CULTIVARS

H. MEHRAJ, T. CHANDA, A.A. MASUM BILLAH, F.N. JAHAN AND A.F.M. JAMAL UDDIN



An International Scientific Research Publisher

Green Global Foundation[©]

Web address: <http://ggfjournals.com/e-journals archive>

E-mails: editor@ggfjournals.com and editor.int.correspondence@ggfjournals.com



MORPHO-PHYSIOLOGICAL AND FLOWERING BEHAVIOR OF BOUGAINVILLEA CULTIVARS

H. MEHRAJ¹, T. CHANDA², A.A. MASUM BILLAH³, F.N. JAHAN⁴ AND A.F.M. JAMAL UDDIN^{1*}¹Department of Horticulture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh; ²International University of Business Agriculture and Technology, Dhaka-1230, Bangladesh; ³Agriculture Extension Officer, Iswardi, Pabna, Bangladesh;⁴Senior Program Officer, SAARC Agriculture Centre, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

*Corresponding author & address: A.F.M. Jamal Uddin, E-mail: jamal4@yahoo.com

Accepted for publication on 5 November 2014

ABSTRACT

Mehraj H, Chanda T, Masum Billah AA, Jahan FN, Jamal Uddin AFM (2014) Morpho-physiological and flowering behavior of bougainvillea cultivars. *Int. J. Sustain. Crop Prod.* 9(3), 35-40.

An experiment was conducted on rooftop garden, Department of Horticulture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Bangladesh to study the morpho-physiological and flowering behavior of 11 bougainvillea cultivars. All of the plant morpho-physiological and flowering characteristics of bougainvillea varied significantly among the cultivars. However, maximum chlorophyll content (75.5), C_{ref} (22.8 vpm), Q_{leaf} ($172.7 \mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$), A ($14.6 \mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) was found from Mahara Beauty (Pink) but maximum leaf area from James Walker (50.5 cm^2), e_{ref} from Juanita Hatten (55.2 mBar) and g_s from Delta Dawn (Yellow) ($0.14 \mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$). Maximum number of floret was found from Temple Fire (29.5) but sub floret/floret (92.0) and petaloid bracts/floret (972.7) was found Mahara Beauty (Pink).

Key words: *bougainvillea, morpho-physiological and flowering behavior*

INTRODUCTION

Bougainvillea's growth habit and beautiful showy bracts make it a popular plant for landscapes. The genus bougainvillea plant has a wide variety of behavior and a large flexibility in different agro climatic regions of the world (Suxia *et al.* 2009; Simon *et al.* 2006; Saifuddin *et al.* 2009a and 2009b) that makes it a potential as a new ornamental plant for floriculture. It has 14 species, with three that are horticulturally important: *B. spectabilis* Willdenow, *B. glabra* Choisy, and *B. peruviana* Humboldt and Bonpland. Many crosses among the various species have produced new hybrid species and important horticultural cultivars. Growth and development of plants is a consequence of several physiological processes controlled by environmental conditions and genetic characteristics of each plant species likes availability of solar radiation is one of the factors that most limits the growth and development of plants. All the energy needed to perform photosynthesis, a process that converts atmospheric CO_2 in metabolic energy is derived from solar radiation. The research on physiological and morphological activity of the bougainvillea has not done in Bangladesh yet. Keeping these points in view the current experiment was conducted to study the physiological and morphological behavior of bougainvillea cultivars.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experiment was conducted on rooftop garden, Department of Horticulture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Bangladesh to study the morpho-physiological and flowering behavior of bougainvillea cultivars. Eleven bougainvillea cultivars *viz.* V₁; Juanita Hatten, V₂; Delta Dawn (Yellow), V₃; Formosa, V₄; Tomato Red, V₅; James Walker, V₆; Temple Fire, V₇; Isla Morada, V₈; Tequila Sunrise, V₉; Miami Pink, V₁₀; Pagoda Orange, and V₁₁; Mahara Beauty (Pink) were used in complete randomized design (CRD) with five replications. One year aged grafted plants were transplanted on pot contained approximate 8 kg soil and @ 2kg well decomposed cowdung. Urea, TSP and MP were also applied @ 20g/pot. Plants were kept on slightly dry conditions between watering. Pruning was done at every 3 months interval after transplanting to prevent to grow too large. This will keep the plant under control and encourage branching without interfering with blooming. The occasional aphid infestation was controlled by hosing off with water. After one and half years of transplanting, data were collected from five plants of each variety. Data were collected on leaf area, chlorophyll content, H_2O references as partial pressure (e_{ref}), CO_2 references (C_{ref}), P.A.R incident on leaf surface (Q_{leaf}), photosynthetic rate (A), stomatal conductance of H_2O (g_s), stomatal resistance to water vapor (r_s), number of floret, number of sub floret/floret, number of petal/floret. Leaf area and chlorophyll content were measured by using CL-202 Leaf Area Meter and SPAD 502 respectively. On the other hand e_{ref} , C_{ref} , Q_{leaf} , A , g_s and r_s were performed by infrared gas analyzer (IRGA) LC pro + Photosynthesis System (ADC bioscientific limited, UK). Variables were measured in every single day interval exactly at 12.30 pm. Mass flow rate setting (Uset) was maintained at 200 in LC pro + Photosynthesis System. For the floral characters 15 cm apical stem were used. All parameters were statistically analyzed by using MSTAT-C program. Mean for all the treatments was calculated and difference between treatments was evaluated by Least Significant Difference (LSD) at the 5% level of significance (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Leaf area: Maximum leaf area was observed in V₅ (50.5 cm^2) whereas minimum from V₃ (12.9 cm^2) (Table 1). Leaf area is one of the informative functional traits (Lavorel and Garnier, 2002; Wright *et al.* 2004; Westoby and Wright, 2006; Poorter *et al.* 2009). As it is an indicator of ecophysiological characteristics such as relative growth rate and leaf longevity (Weiher *et al.* 1999; Wright and Westoby, 2002). Leaf size can increase with

increasing air temperature and functionally large leaves have thicker boundary layers of air around their surfaces which insulate and decrease water loss through transpiration (Hopkins *et al.* 2008).

Chlorophyll content: Chlorophyll content showed a significant variation among the cultivars. V₁₁ provided the maximum (75.5%) chlorophyll while minimum from V₆ (48.2%) (Table 1). Ehsan *et al.* (2008) and Troughton (1970) also found the variations in the characteristics of cultivars which may be due to differences in their genetic constitution. Genotype and environment interactions play a major role in influencing growth and development of plants and high leaf biomass coupled with the high chlorophyll content might have enhanced its rate of photosynthesis (Mulder and Bijma, 2005). On the other hand, reduced levels of leaf chlorophyll content per unit leaf area in crops may be of advantage in the search for higher yields. Possible reasons include better light distribution in the crop canopy and less photochemical damage to leaves absorbing more light energy than required for maximum photosynthesis. Reduced chlorophyll may also reduce the heat load at the top of canopy, reducing water requirements to cool leaves. Chloroplasts are nutrient rich and reducing their number may increase available nutrients for plant growth and development (Hamblin *et al.* 2014).

H₂O references as partial pressure (e_{ref}): Maximum e_{ref} was found from V₁ (55.2 mBar) whereas minimum from V₄ (47.5 mBar) (Table 1).

CO₂ references (C_{ref}): Maximum C_{ref} was provided by V₁₁ (22.8 vpm) followed by V₁₀ (22.6 vpm) whereas minimum from V₁ (20.6 vpm) (Table 1). RuBPco (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase) activity was reduced in the high-CO₂ grown leaves but there were no apparent differences in other two Calvin cycle enzymes (Besford 1990). Loss of RuBPco protein may be a factor associated with accelerated fall in Pmax (light-saturated rate of photosynthesis) and in contrast to acclimation to high light, acclimation to high CO₂ does not usually involve an increase in photosynthetic machinery (Besford 1993).

P.A.R incident on leaf surface (Q_{leaf}): Maximum Q_{leaf} was obtained from V₁₁ (172.7 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) followed by V₁₀ (149.6 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) whereas minimum from V₂ (87.5 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) (Table 1). With increasing photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) chloroplast stroma becomes more alkaline that leads to the activation of Rubisco, and an increase in ATP and NADPH production, therefore to an increase in photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation (A). The intensity of photosynthesis process varies depending on the light radiation received at the leaves surface, which depends of the position of the leaves in the plant. Radiation drives photosynthesis. At high irradiance, photosynthesis becomes light-saturated and is limited by the carboxylation rate, which is governed by some combination of CO₂ diffusion into the leaf and carboxylation capacity (Atwell *et al.* 1999).

Photosynthetic rate (A): Maximum photosynthetic rate was obtained from V₁₁ (14.6 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) followed by V₁₀ (10.7 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) while minimum from V₁ (2.6 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) (Table 1). Photosynthetic rate was varied among the genotype (Sasaki and Ishii, 1992) in chilli (Mehraj *et al.* 2014) and the higher biomass productivity might be due to the higher photosynthetic rate (Horie *et al.* 2003). Photosynthesis is limited by the rate of electron transport which is in turn limited by the amount of available light. With further increase in light photosynthesis becomes CO₂ limited until where the curve reaches a light saturation point, where A is not responding to further increases in PAR level, and is limited by the carboxylation capacity of Rubisco or by triose phosphate metabolism (Long *et al.* 1996).

Stomatal conductance of H₂O (g_s): Maximum stomatal conductance of H₂O (g_s) was obtained from V₂ (0.14 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) followed by V₆ (0.13 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) while minimum from V₉ and V₁₀ (0.08 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) (Table 2). The difference in stomatal conductance perhaps maintains the physiological coherence, leaf age or due to a slower growth rate. That is why in a vegetative stage, leaf exhibited low stomatal conductance and the stomatal conductance was directly connected to age and position of leaf in a plant (Nabi *et al.* 2000). With the increase of leaf age, the stomatal conductance had improved up to a certain value which was differed from plant species to species. In the case of complete and partial pruning, stomatal conductance increased rapidly beyond the second month of observation. It was also referred that leaves from the younger branch or middle age had a higher rate of photosynthesis and high stomatal conductance than the leaves of older branches (Nabi *et al.* 2000; Poni and Intrieri, 1996). The stomata are not only the entry route for gas exchanges for CO₂ but also the outflow of water in vapor form, from the inside to the outside of the leaf. In order to absorb CO₂ from the outside, the plant inexorably loses water and when this loss decreases, it also restricts the intake of CO₂ (Kelly and Jose, 2013).

Stomatal resistance to water vapor (r_s): Maximum stomatal resistance to water vapor (r_s) was found from V₉ and V₁₀ (12.5 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) followed by V₄ and V₈ (11.4 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) whereas minimum from V₂ (7.1 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) (Table 2).

Number of floret: The number of floret was varied significantly among the cultivars. The maximum number of floret was observed from V₆ (29.5) followed by V₁₀ (28.6) while minimum from V₅ (9.5) (Table 2).

Number of sub floret: Maximum number of sub floret was observed from V₁₁ (92.0) which was statistically similar with V₁₀ (87.9) whereas minimum from V₃ (74.9) (Table 2).

Number of petaloid bracts/floret: Number of petaloid bracts/floret was varied significantly among the cultivars. Maximum number of petaloid bracts/floret was found from V₁₁ (972.2) followed by V₁₀ (388.6) whereas minimum from V₆ (9.5) which was statistically similar with V₃, and V₉ (9.6) (Table 2).

The flowering pattern was represented on the Plate 1 using the apical stem. From the current experiment it was observed that V₁ to V₉ represented the single floret flower whereas V₁₀ and V₁₁ represented the double floret flower (Plate 2) that's why V₁₀ and V₁₁ was given the numerous number of petaloid/bracts.

Table 1. Response of bougainvillea cultivars on some morpho-physiological traits^x

| Variety ^y | Leaf area (cm ²) | SPAD reading (Chlorophyll %) | e _{ref} | C _{ref} (vpm) | Q _{leaf} (μmolm ⁻² s ⁻¹) | A (μmolm ⁻² s ⁻¹) |
|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| V ₁ | 32.1 e | 56.3 e | 55.2 a | 20.6 g | 108.5 g | 2.6 i |
| V ₂ | 17.4 h | 56.9 d | 54.6 b | 21.4 f | 87.5 j | 5.8 f |
| V ₃ | 12.9 j | 52.2 g | 48.2 j | 21.6 f | 90.6 i | 8.5 d |
| V ₄ | 15.4 i | 53.1 f | 47.5 k | 22.5 bc | 103.6 h | 5.8 f |
| V ₅ | 50.5 a | 60.1 c | 48.7 h | 21.9 e | 121.5 f | 6.1 e |
| V ₆ | 17.4 h | 48.2 i | 49.1 f | 22.1 de | 144.5 c | 5.3 g |
| V ₇ | 33.2 d | 48.9 h | 51.3 d | 22.3 cd | 134.6 d | 3.7 h |
| V ₈ | 41.1 c | 60.1 c | 50.7 e | 22.5 bc | 129.7 e | 9.8 c |
| V ₉ | 17.9 g | 56.9 d | 49.0 g | 22.5 bc | 134.6 d | 14.6 a |
| V ₁₀ | 25.0 f | 71.3 b | 52.0 c | 22.6 ab | 149.6 b | 10.7 b |
| V ₁₁ | 41.5 b | 75.5 a | 48.5 i | 22.8 a | 172.7 a | 9.7 c |
| LSD0.05 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| CV% | 0.5 | 5.6 | 8.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 1.9 |

^x In a column means having similar letter(s) are statistically identical and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability

^y V₁; Juanita Hatten, V₂; Delta Dawn (Yellow), V₃; Formosa, V₄; Tomato Red, V₅; James Walker, V₆; Temple Fire, V₇; Isla Morada, V₈; Tequila Sunrise, V₉; Miami Pink, V₁₀; Pagoda Orange, and V₁₁; Mahara Beauty (Pink)

Table 2. Response of bougainvillea cultivars on some morpho-physiological traits and flowering behaviour^x

| Variety ^y | g _s (μmolm ⁻² s ⁻¹) | r _s (m ² s ⁻¹ mol ⁻¹) | Number of floret | Number sub floret/floret | Number of petaloid bracts/floret |
|----------------------|---|--|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| V ₁ | 0.12 c | 8.3 e | 20.5 e | 75.2 c | 21.5 d |
| V ₂ | 0.14 a | 7.1 g | 11.5 h | 72.2 c | 12.5 e |
| V ₃ | 0.10 e | 10.0 c | 17.6 g | 74.9 c | 9.6 f |
| V ₄ | 0.09 f | 11.1 b | 18.6 f | 76.6 c | 21.6 d |
| V ₅ | 0.11 d | 9.1 d | 9.5 i | 72.9 c | 12.5 e |
| V ₆ | 0.13 b | 7.7 f | 29.5 a | 78.9 c | 9.5 f |
| V ₇ | 0.10 e | 10.0 c | 21.6 d | 78.2 c | 70.6 c |
| V ₈ | 0.09 f | 11.1 b | 24.7 c | 80.0 bc | 21.7 d |
| V ₉ | 0.08 g | 12.5 a | 18.6 f | 75.2 c | 9.6 f |
| V ₁₀ | 0.08 g | 12.5 a | 28.6 b | 87.9 ab | 388.6 b |
| V ₁₁ | 0.10 e | 10.0 c | 20.7 e | 92.0 a | 972.7 a |
| LSD0.05 | 0.001 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 8.3 | 0.3 |
| CV% | 9.7 | 9.3 | 0.7 | 6.2 | 3.1 |

^x In a column means having similar letter(s) are statistically identical and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability

^y V₁; Juanita Hatten, V₂; Delta Dawn (Yellow), V₃; Formosa, V₄; Tomato Red, V₅; James Walker, V₆; Temple Fire, V₇; Isla Morada, V₈; Tequila Sunrise, V₉; Miami Pink, V₁₀; Pagoda Orange, and V₁₁; Mahara Beauty (Pink)



Plate 1. Flowering pattern of 11 bougainvillea cultivars

Here,

V₁; Juanita Hatten, V₂; Delta Dawn (Yellow), V₃; Formosa, V₄; Tomato Red, V₅; James Walker, V₆; Temple Fire, V₇; Isla Morada, V₈; Tequila Sunrise, V₉; Miami Pink, V₁₀; Pagoda Orange, and V₁₁; Mahara Beauty (Pink)



Plate 2. Variation of petaloid bracts of 11 bougainvillea cultivars

Here,

V₁; Juanita Hatten, V₂; Delta Dawn (Yellow), V₃; Formosa, V₄; Tomato Red, V₅; James Walker, V₆; Temple Fire, V₇; Isla Morada, V₈; Tequila Sunrise, V₉; Miami Pink, V₁₀; Pagoda Orange, and V₁₁; Mahara Beauty (Pink)

CONCLUSION

The bougainvillea cultivars showed wide ranges of variation in morphological, physiological and flowering behavior.

REFERENCES

- Atwell B, Kriedemann P, Turnbull C (1999) Plants in action. Adaptation in nature performance. Macmillan publishers Australia PTY LTD.
- Besford RT (1990) The Greenhouse Effect: Acclimation of Tomato Plants Growing in High CO₂, Relative Changes in Calvin Cycle Enzymes. *J. Plant Physiology*. 136, 458-463.
- Besford RT (1993) Photosynthetic Acclimation in Tomato Plants Grown in High CO₂. *Vegetatio*. 104/105, 441-448.
- Ehsan F, Ali A, Ather Nadeem M, Tahir M, Majeed A (2008) Comparative Yield Performance of New Cultivars of Cotton (*Gossypium Hirsutum* L.) *Pak. J. Life Soc. Sci.* 6(1), 1-3.

- Gomez KA, Gomez AA (1984) *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research*. 2nd edn. John Wiley and Sons. New York.: 680.
- Hamblin J, Stefanova K, Angessa TT (2014) Variation in Chlorophyll Content per Unit Leaf Area in Spring Wheat and Implications for Selection in Segregating Material. *PLoS ONE*. 9(3), e92529.
- Hopkins R, Schmitt J, Stinchcombe JR (2008) A latitudinal cline and response to vernalization in leaf angle and morphology in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Brassicaceae). *New Phytologist*. 179, 155-164.
- Horie T, Lubis I, Takai T, Ohsumi A, Kuwasaki K, Katsura K, Nii A (2003) Physiological traits associated with high yield potential in rice. In: Mew TW, Brar DS, Peng S, Dawe D, Hardy B, eds. *Rice science: innovations and impact for livelihood*. Los Banos, Philippines: IRRI, 117–145.
- Kelly CT, Jose TF (2013) Ecophysiological behaviour of *Eucalyptus grandis* x *Eucalyptus urophylla*, Igrata sp – Brazil. *Irriga, Botucatu*. 18(1), 113-125.
- Lavorel S, Garnier E (2002) Predicting changes in community composition and ecosystem functioning from plant traits: revisiting the Holy Grail. *Funct. Ecol*. 16, 545–556.
- Long SP, Farage PK, Garcia RL (1996) Measurement of leaf and canopy photosynthetic CO₂ exchange in the field. *J. Experimental Botany*. 47, 1629-1642.
- Mehraj H, Haider T, Chowdhury MSN, Howlader MF, Jamal Uddin AFM (2014) Study on Morpho-physiological and Yield Performance of Four Chilli (*Capsicum* spp.) Lines. *Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research* 02(01), 01-07.
- Mulder HA, Bijma P (2005) Effects of genotype x environment interaction on genetic gain in breeding programs. *J. Anim. Sci*. 83, 49-61.
- Nabi G, Trought MC, Noor R, Samad A (2000) To study stomatal conductance at different leaf positions and xylem flow rate at different depths in the apple branch. *Pakistan J. Biological Sci*. 3, 1634-1636.
- Poni S, Intrieri C (1996) Physiology of grape leaf ageing as related to improved canopy management and grape quality. *Proceedings 9th Australian Wine Industry Technical Conference, Winetitles, Adelaide*, pp. 113-122.
- Poorter H, Niinemets U, Poorter L, Wright IJ, Villar R (2009) Causes and consequences of variation in leaf mass per area (LMA): a meta-analysis. *New Phytol*. 182, 565–588.
- Saifuddin M, Hossain ABMS, Normaniza O, Moneruzzaman KM (2009a) Bract size enlargement and longevity of *Bougainvillea spectabilis* as affected by GA₃ and phloemic stress. *Asian J. Plant Sci*. 8, 212–217.
- Saifuddin M, Hossain ABMS, Normaniza O, Nasrulhaq BA, Moneruzzaman KM (2009b) The effects of naphthalene acetic acid and gibberellic acid in prolonging bract longevity and delaying discoloration of *Bougainvillea spectabilis*. *Biotechnology*. 8, 343–350.
- Sasaki H, Ishii R (1992) Cultivar differences in leaf photosynthesis of rice bred in Japan. *Photosynth. Res*. 32, 139–146.
- Simon A, Toth G, Duddeck H, Soliman H, Mahmoud I, Samir H (2006) Glycosides from *Bougainvillea glabra*. *Natural Product Res*. 20, 63-67.
- Suxia X, Qingyun H, Qingyan S, Chun C, Brady AV (2009) Reproductive organography of *Bougainvillea spectabilis* Willd. *Scientia Horticulturae* 120, 399–405.
- Troughton A (1970) Intra-varietal variation of yield in two varieties of *Lolium perenne* L. *Euphytica*. 19, 382-389.
- Weier E, Van der Werf A, Thompson K, Roderick M, Garnier E, Eriksson O (1999) Challenging Theophrastus: a common core list of plant traits for functional ecology. *J. Veg. Sci*. 10, 609–620.
- Westoby M, Wright IJ (2006) Land plant ecology on the basis of functional traits. *Trends Ecol. Evol*. 21, 261–268.
- Wright IJ, Reich PB, Westoby M, Ackerly DD, Baruch Z, Bongers F, Cavender-Bares J, Chapin T, Cornelissen JHC, Diemer M, Flexas J, Garnier E, Groom PK, Gulias J, Hikosaka K, Lamont BB, Lee T, Lee W, Lusk C, Midgley JJ, Navas ML, Niinemets U, Oleksyn J, Osada N, Poorter H, Poot P, Prior L, Pyankov VI, Roumet C, Thomas SC, Tjoelker MG, Veneklaas EJ, Villar R (2004) The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. *Nature* 428, 821–827.
- Wright IJ, Westoby M (2002) Leaves at low versus high rainfall: coordination of structure, lifespan and physiology. *New Phytol*. 155, 403–416.