Reprint

Journal of Innovation & Development Strategy (JIDS)

(J. Innov. Dev. Strategy)

Volume: 5

Issue: 2

August 2011

<u>J. Innov. Dev. Strategy 5(2): 1-9 (August 2011)</u>

EFFECT OF SPINDLE SPEED AND TWIST VARIATION ON COTTON YARN QUALITY M.R. CHOWDHURY



JIDS** issn 1997-2571, HQ:19-10 central place, saskatoon, saskatchewan, s7n 2s2, Canada

EFFECT OF SPINDLE SPEED AND TWIST VARIATION ON COTTON YARN QUALITY

M.R. CHOWDHURY

Department of Textile Technology, Ahsanullah University of Science & Technology

Corresponding author & address: Dr. Mohammed Rubaiyat Chowdhury, E-mail: rubaiyat707@yahoo.com Accepted for publication on 10 July 2011

ABSTRACT

Chowdhury MR (2011) Effect of spindle speed and twist variation on cotton yarn quality. J. Innov. Dev. Strategy 5(2), 1-9.

In this experiment it was observed that with increased spindle speed & TPI, yarn quality attributes like strength, evenness & thick thin places are highly affected. Thin places, strength, C.V.% and hairiness also increase with increasing spindle speed.

Key words: HVI, AFIS, IPI

INTRODUCTION

Spindle Speed is very important for yarn quality of a spinning mill. Production rate and quality are two most important parameters for a spinning mill and these depend on spindle speed of ring frame. Any sort of imperfection in spinning can affect the final product that is in the yarn and can be visible in grey fabric produced from it. So spindle speed in a mill is set very carefully. The only criteria of setting this is by trial and error method. For setting the spindle speed one must consider the optimum speed which is satisfactory for both production rate and quality of the final product. The number of turns about its axis per unit of length observed in a yarn or other textile strand .Generally this is indicated as turns per inch or tpi. It is expressed also as turns per centimeter or meter or by helix angle in a structure of known diameter. TPI = $\sqrt{\text{count *TM}}$ (Booth 1979). Spindle is the main dependent element on production (Klein 1993) Spindle speed & twist variation plays a vital role on the quality of final product. The experiment was carried to understand the varying effect of Spindle speed & Twist variation over the yarn quality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Raw Material Used

CIS 50% + SYRIAN 50%

•	SCI	= 132
•	UHML(mm)	= 1.127
•	UI%	= 82.6
•	SFI%	= 7.7
•	Avg Mic	= 4.79
•	Maturity Ratio	= 0.87
•	Strength (g/tex)	= 30.5
•	Elongation(%)	= 6.3
\triangleright	Rd	= 80.2
\succ	+b	= 10.7
\succ	Tr cnt	= 36
\triangleright	Tr ar	= 0.30
\succ	NRE%	=69.8%

Machinery used

Table 1. Name of the Machineries

Name of Machine	Model	Manufacturer	Country of Origin
Table 1'- Blow Room	BDT-019	Trutzschler	India
Carding	TC-03	Trutzschler	India
Pre-comb Drawing	SB-D15	Rieter	Switzerland
Lap Former	SL-100	Toyota	Japan
Comber	VC-5-A	Toyota	Japan
Post-comb Drawing	RSB-D35	Rieter	Switzerland
Simplex	FL-100	Toyota	Japan
Ring Frame	DTM-129	Merzoli	China

Chowdhury MR

Spindle Speed Taken: Four different spindle speed were selected for the test which are mentioned below -

- 13100
 14100
- ▶ 15100
- ▶ 16100

Sample selection

For the experiment 1 to 10 no spindle cops were taken from ring frame yarn count 30KH for the spindle speed 13100, 14100, 15100, 16100 with respective TPI 23, 24, 24.9 & 24.9

Test method

AFIS Test:

At first samples were collected from the bales. Then the sample was put on balance. It provided the sliver length with respect to sample weight. Then a sliver made by hand & provided the required data to AFIS (Uster AFIS Pro, 2001). Then the sample was fed & started testing.

HVI Test:

Samples were collected from bales. Then the sample was fed to the HVI 3 cabinet gradually. Required setting were provided to the HVI (HVI Tester, 2005). Then started testing gradually.

UT-4 Test:

10 cops from 1 to 10 spindle for every speed were collected & TPI was changed. Required settings were provided for UT-4 setting (Uster Tester, 2005). Then 10 cops were taken for each spindle speed & TPI. After the test IPI was calculated.

CSP Test:

The cops were set on the wrap reel machine. Then switched on the machine. After wraping yarn machine was switched off. Then count was calculated.

After that the wrap yarn was set on the strength tester. Started the machine. This provided the strength of yarn.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of spindle speed and tpi on yarn quality shown in Table 2 to Table 5 and Where Table 6, Table 7 and Table 8 shows the comparison of yarn quality change with different spindle speed and tpi.

Nr	U%	CVm%	CVm1 m%	Thin -30% /km	Thin -40% /km	Thin -50% /km	Thick +35% /km	Thic +50% /km	Neps +20% /km	Neps +280% /km	sh	Н	Rel. cnt ±%
1	11.66	14.87	4.72	1920	130.0	5.0	965.0	205.0	305.0	105. 0	1.37	5.85	-0.9
2	11.41	14.49	5.16	1715	115.0	10.0	820.0	125.0	270.0	75.0	1.26	5.66	0.4
3	11.82	15.7	6.19	1940	145.0	5.0	980.0	185.0	335.0	85.0	1.23	5.73	0.4
4	11.50	14.85	5.24	2025	130.0	0.0	995.0	165.0	240.0	60.0	1.28	5.74	-0.5
5	11.86	15.12	5.70	1940	185.0	15.0	1005.0	205.0	260.0	60.0	1.41	5.98	0.4
Mean	11.64	14.87	5.40	1908	141.0	7.0	953.0	177.0	282.0	77.0	1.31	5.83	0.0
CV	1.5	1.5	10.0	6.0	19.0	81.4	8.0	18.9	13.4	24.6	5.1	2.4	0.6

Table 2. UT-4 Report for spindle speed 13100 & TPI=23

Nr	U%	CVm%	CVm 1m%	Thin -30% /km	Thin -40% /km	Thin -50% /km	Thick +35% /km	Thick +50%/km	Neps +200% /km	Neps +280% /km	sh	Н	Rel. cnt ±%
1	11.34	14.51	5.15	1570	110	0.0	1015	160	285	90	1.29	5.74	-0.0
2	11.63	14.82	4.66	2030	135	5.0	998	160	295	105	1.31	5.76	0.6
3	12.09	15.53	5.81	1860	170	5.0	960	200	285	85	1.29	5.69	0.0
4	11.39	14.42	5.08	1555	130	10.0	945	185	330	105	1.36	5.86	0.9
5	11.49	14.60	4.75	1710	110	0.0	880	180	335	90	1.27	5.65	1.0
6	11.69	15.07	4.58	2190	250	0.0	1195	240	375	135	1.27	5.84	-1.6
7	12.49	15.84	5.58	2540	245	5.0	1290	260	315	75	1.32	5.45	0.5
8	11.61	14.88	5.25	1855	125	0.0	1020	220	340	95	1.35	5.82	0.3
9	11.46	14.02	6.00	2126	176	0.0	1110	230	385	135	1.39	5.87	-0.9
Mean	11.67	14.85	5.10	1937	161	2.8	1046	203	372.2	101.7	1.31	5.71	-0.0
CV	3.0	3.0	8.1	16.5	33.6	12.4	12.4	17.5	11.1	20.7	3.2	2.2	0.9

Table 3. UT-4 Report for spindle speed 14100 & TPI=24

Chowdhury MR

Table 4. UT-4 Report for spindle speed 15100 & TPI=24.9

Nr	U%	CVm%	CVm 1m%	Thin -30% /km	Thin -40% /km	Thin -50% /km	Thick +35% /km	Thick +50% /km	Neps +200% /km	Neps +280% /km	sh	Н	Rel. cnt ±%
1	11.63	14.89	5.62	1895	135.0	0.0	940.0	185.5	265.0	72.5	1.51	6.55	0.4
2	11.94	15.20	6.12	1955	167.5	5.0	922.5	182.5	342.5	100.0	1.31	6.01	0.6
3	11.63	14.00	6.44	1808	187.5	0.0	995.0	237.5	415.0	120.0	1.44	6.29	0.8
4	11.70	14.89	5.34	1945	177.5	7.5	942.5	150.0	270.0	70.0	1.50	6.19	-1.5
5	11.69	14.90	5.23	2050	190.0	10.0	1017.5	175.0	360.0	82.5	1.54	6.24	0.1
6	11.78	14.98	4.99	2010	175.0	0.0	972.5	195.0	315.0	80.0	1.41	5.92	-0.6
7	12.52	15.90	5.26	2868	395.0	22.5	1257.5	270.0	285.0	55.0	1.33	5.74	-0.6
8	12.70	16.24	5.56	2748	325.0	5.0	1435.0	357.5	422.5	115.0	1.31	5.63	0.2
9	11.62	14.00	5.10	1005	152.5	7.5	895.0	150.0	267.5	52.5	1.36	5.98	-0.4
10	11.67	14.93	5.11	1965	190.0	2.5	1035.0	222.5	335.0	115.0	1.37	6.08	0.9
Mean	11.89	15.16	5.38	2115	209.5	6.0	1041	212.5	327.8	86.3	1.41	6.06	0.0
CV	3.3	3.3	6.2	17.6	39.5	113.2	16.5	29.9	17.8	28.9	6.1	4.4	0.8

Nr.	U%	CVm %	CVm 1m%	Thin -30% /km	Thin -40% /km	Thin -50% /km	Thick +35% /km	Thick +50% /km	Neps +200% /km	Neps +280% /km	sh	Н	Rel. cnt ±%
1	11.44	14.61	5.01	1868	120.0	0.0	957.5	175.0	282.5	65.0	1.30	5.82	0.6
2	11.72	14.94	5.02	2073	177.5	7.5	957.5	190.0	307.5	67.0	1.29	5.79	-0.7
3	12.77	16.32	6.45	2748	340.0	2.5	1447.5	327.5	425.0	132.5	1.27	5.39	-0.5
4	12.24	15.54	4.67	2673	277.5	17.5	1367.5	247.5	242.5	45.5	1.32	5.75	1.1
5	11.37	14.50	4.17	1920	210.0	5.0	967.5	155.0	277.5	65.0	1.27	5.59	-0.6
6	11.41	14.61	5.08	1830	165.0	15.0	877.5	195.0	280.0	75.0	1.34	5.83	0.5
7	11.41	14.42	4.17	1953	162.5	0.0	852.5	117.5	265.0	62.5	1.33	5.82	-0.0
8	11.83	15.19	6.07	1990	155.0	7.5	950.0	230.0	377.5	125.0	1.28	5.75	0.8
9	11.39	14.59	5.09	1710	110.0	0.0	867.5	160.0	310.0	100.0	1.24	5.72	0.1
10	12.28	15.93	7.15	2215	277.5	5.0	1040.0	195.0	345.0	75.0	1.26	5.75	-1.1
Mean	11.79	15.07	5.34	2098	199.5	0.0	1029	199.3	311.3	81.3	1.29	5.72	0.0
CV	4.1	4.4	17.2	167	37.9	102	20.2	29.3	18.0	35.2	2.6	2.4	0.7

Table 5. UT-4 Report for spindle speed 16100 & TPI=24.9

Chowdhury MR

Speed	U%	CVm%	CVm	Thin	Thin	Thin	Thick	Thick	Neps	Neps	sh	Н	Rel. cnt
_			1m%	-30%	-40%	-50%	+35%	+50%/km	+200%	+280%			±%
				/km	/km	/km	/km		/km	/km			
13100	11.64	14.87	5.40	1908	141.0	7.0	953.0	177.0	282.0	77.0	1.31	5.83	0.0
14100	11.34	14.85	5.15	1570	110	0.0	1015	160	285	90	1.29	5.74	-0.0
15100	11.89	15.16	5.38	2115	209.5	6.0	1041	212.5	327.8	86.3	1.41	6.06	0.0
16100	11.79	15.07	5.34	2098	199.5	0.0	1029	199.3	311.3	81.3	1.29	5.72	0.0

Table 6. Compare yarn quality which produced by different spindle speed & TPI

Table 7. Comparison of CV% with different Spindle Speed

Speed	U%	CVm%	CVm	Thin	Thin	Thin	Thick	Thick	Neps	Neps	sh	Н	Rel. cnt
			1m%	-30%	-40%	-50%	+35%	+50%/km	+200%	+280%			±%
				/km	/km	/km	/km		/km	/km			
13100	1.5	1.5	10.0	6.0	19.0	81.4	8.0	18.9	13.4	24.6	5.1	2.4	0.6
14100	3.0	3.0	8.1	16.5	33.6	12.4	12.4	17.5	11.1	20.7	3.2	2.2	0.9
15100	3.3	3.3	6.2	17.6	39.5	113.2	16.5	29.9	17.8	28.9	6.1	4.4	0.8
16100	4.1	4.4	17.2	167	37.9	102	20.2	29.3	18.0	35.2	2.6	2.4	0.7

Table 8. Comparison of Yarn Quality with IPI & CSP produced by different Spindle Speed & TPI

Spindle speed & TPI variation	IPI	CSP
13100 & 23.00	466.0	2297
14100 & 24.00	533.9	2373
15100 & 24.90	546.9	2476
16100 & 24.90	510.6	2421



Figure 1. Spindle Speed Vs U%



Figure 2. Spindle Speed Vs Thin -50%/km



Figure 3. Spindle Speed Vs /Thick +50%/km



Figure 4. Spindle Speed Vs neps +200



Figure 5. Spindle Speed Vs Hairiness



Figure 6. Spindle Speed Vs IPI



Figure 7. Spindle Speed Vs CSP

DISCUSSION

It was evident from Table 2 to Table 8 and from figure 1 to figure 7 strength is affected by the spindle speed & TPI variation. With increased spindle speed the strength was reduced but with increased TPI the strength was increased. It was observed that when spindle speed was 16100 with TPI 24.9 the CSP value was less than the spindle speed 15100 with TPI 24.9. Actually yarn strength is affected by the TPI variation. Hairiness was affected also by the TPI variation. It was found that when the TPI was increased the hairiness was reduced. It was observed that the hairiness was lees for the spindle speed 14100 & TPI 24. In the experiment it was observed that the U% is less for speed 13100. On the other hand U% is higher for speed 16100. It was evident that IPI value increased with increasing spindle speed & TPI such as IPI value was 466.0 for spindle speed 13100 & TPI 23.00 on the other hand IPI value was 546.9 for spindle speed 15100 & TPI 24.9. So spindle speed lower yarn quality better.

CONCLUSION

It was found in the experiment that with increased spindle speed & TPI, yarn quality attributes like strength, evenness & thick thin places are highly affected. Thin places, strength, C.V.% and hairiness show a tendency to increase with increased spindle speed.

REFERENCES

Booth JE (1979) Principles of Textile Testing p.214-215.

Klein W (1993) The Textile Institute Manual of Textile Technology- Volume -4 Short Staple Spining 2nd Edition, Page – 9-11.

Manual of HVI Tester (2005)

Manual of USTER Tester-4 (2003)

Uster AFIS Pro (2001)