#### ASSESSMENT OF SEVERAL MUSTARD VARIETIES RESISTANCE TO MUSTARD APHID, LIPAPHIS ERYSIMI (KALT.)

M.S.A. MAMUN<sup>1</sup>, M.H. ALI<sup>2</sup>, M.M. FERDOUS<sup>3</sup>, M.A. RAHMAN<sup>4</sup> AND M.A. HOSSAIN<sup>5</sup>

<sup>13</sup>Department of Entomology, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh; <sup>4</sup>Department of Agronomy and Agricultural Extension, Rajshahi University, Bangladesh; <sup>5</sup> Research Fellow, Green Global Foundation(GGF)-International, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Corresponding author & address: M.A. Rahman, Email: arifur\_ru@yahoo.com Accepted for publication on 15 July 2010

#### ABSTRACT

Mamun MSA, Ali MH, Ferdous MM, Rahman MA, Hossain MA (2010) Assessment of several mustard varieties resistance to mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysini* (Kalt). J. Soil Nature 4(1), 34-38.

Four varieties namely, MM014-02wf, MM012-02ys, MM2-16-98, MM011-02rb and Binasarisha-4 one check variety of mustard were tested against the mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) under natural and artificial conditions. The result showed that the resistant or less susceptible variety had lower aphid infestation. Generally, aphid infestation showed trend from flowering stage, reached the peck at pod formation stage, and then trended to decline in all the mutants/variety. The highest yield was observed in the variety MM014-02wf, which had lowest aphid infestation. Considering the results of all parameters responsible for aphid resistance or susceptibility at the field and net house studies, the tested variety.

Key words: varieties, mustard, aphid and resistance

## INTRODUCTION

Mustard is the main cultivable edible oilseed crop of Bangladesh and its performance in total oilseed production is approximately 70 percent. Bangladesh occupies the 5<sup>th</sup> place in respect of total oilseed production in the world (Alam *et al.* 1988). Mustard is the most important and popular crop which is mainly grown in winter season in Bangladesh and occupies an area of 27,000 hectares land and produces about 74,000 metric tons of oilseeds (BBS 2006). In our country, mainly three species are cultivated namely, *Brassica campestris, Brassica juncea* and *Brassica napus*.

Mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) is one of the most destructive pests (Morzia and Huq, 1991; Rouf and Kabir, 1997) in Bangladesh and is distributed in many other countries (Hamid and Ahmed, 1980; Setokuchi 1983). Both the adults and nymphs cause damage to mustard plant at vegetative, flowering and pod formation stages by sucking sap from the plant. In case of severe infestation leaves become curled, plant fails to develop pods, the young pods when developed do not mature and cannot produce healthy seeds. As a result, plant loses their vigour and their growth is stopped (Husain and Begum, 2009 and Shahjahan 1994).

Generally, the farmers of Bangladesh control this pest by the application of chemical insecticides. The insecticides are hazardous and have many undesirable side effects. Moreover, the insecticides are very costly and many farmers of Bangladesh can not afford to buy insecticides. The insecticides are lipophilic in nature and they may have hazardous residues in oils. Satisfactory alternative to insecticides, such as use of pheromones, biological and cultural control measures have not been yet developed. To minimize the use of insecticides, development of tolerant variety against insect pests specially mustard aphid, *L. erysimi* (Kalt.) is urgent.

Among the various control methods, varietal resistance has received priority in Integrated Pest Management Programme (Hobner 1972). Plants that are resistant to insect pests have the unique advantages of providing inherent insect control to the crop. Plant resistance, in most cases biochemical nature and a number of factors are responsible for resistance i.e. non-preference, antibiosis and tolerance to insects (Kher and Rataul, 1991). The response of different mustard varieties and one control variety Binasharisha-4 to mustard aphid which will explore the possible sources and mechanisms of resistance of mustard varieties and to develop suitable techniques for screening and evaluating mustard varieties resistant to mustard aphid, *L. erysimi* (Kalt.). From this view, the present experiment was conducted with the following objectives i. To observe the reaction of several mustard varieties to mustard aphid, *L. erysimi* (Kalt.) in the field as well as in the net house, ii. To study the effect of damage done by mustard aphid population on the yield and yield contributing characters of four mustard varieties and one check variety.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment, was conducted at the experimental farm of Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture (BINA) substation, Ishuardi and is situated approximately between the latitudes of 24<sup>0</sup> 75<sup>"</sup> N and between the longitudes of 89<sup>0</sup> 07<sup>"</sup> to 90<sup>0</sup> 30<sup>"</sup> E at a height of 18.0 meter above the mean sea level. The net house and laboratory experiments with artificial infestation were conducted at the Entomology Division, BINA, Mymensingh. The climate of the region is sub-tropical characterized by heavy rainfall along with moderately high temperature and humidity. The soil of the experimental field area was under the Calcareous Brown and high Ganges River Floodplain sandy loam soil with fine texture having a pH value of 80. The plots were fertilized with Urea, TSP, MOP and Zypsum at the rate of 260 Kg, 20 Kg, 66 Kg and 40 Kg per hectare respectively. Only one flood irrigation was given at the vegetative stage in the field. Four varieties and one check variety namely MMO14-02wf, MM012-02ys, MM011-02rb, MM2-16-98 and Binasarisha-4 were used for the experiments. The susceptibility of these varieties to aphid infestations were assessed on the basis of field, laboratory and net house experiments. On the basis of nymphal and oviposition periods, fecundity and rate of aphid multiplication, they selected some genotypes for use in future breeding programme for evolving insect resistant varieties.

Copyright© 2010 Green Global Foundation

Mamun et al.

#### Percent plant infested by aphid

The total number of infested and uninfested plants at flowering stage were counted from two rows randomly selected from each plot. Thus the percentage of infested plant by aphid was calculated using the following formula:

Percentage of plant infested = 
$$\frac{B}{A} \times 100$$

Where,

A = Total number of plants B = Number of infested plants

# Number of aphids at flowering stage

The population of aphid in the field was counted on 10 randomly selected plants from each plot at flowering stage. The top 10 cm apical twigs of the selected plants were cut and brought to the laboratory in polythene bags separately for counting the number of aphid pre plant. The aphids were removed from the infested plant parts with the help of a soft camel hair brush on a piece of white paper. Then the numbers of aphids were counted with the help of a magnifying glass and hand tally counter. The infested twigs and inflorescence were checked carefully, so that single aphid could not escape at the time of counting (Plate I).

## Seed yield (kg/ha)

The mustard crops were harvested at full maturity. The crops were harvested by uprooting the plants. From each plot 10 plants were randomly selected to obtain various yield attributing parameter such as plant height, number of branch per plant, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod. Plant height of the above ground portion of the plant was measured by meter scale. Seed yield was recorded after harvest. Seeds were collected from each plot and were sum-dried. The results were expressed in kg/ha.



Plate I. Aphid infested mustard plant

#### Multiplication of aphid on different varieties of mustard

The plants were at flowering stage, a single plant was selected and infested artificially with field collected aphid in each pot. Each plant was covered with nylon net and tied with a thread (Plate II). After 10 days of artificial infestation, the plants were uprooted carefully and each plant was kept separately and brought to the laboratory.

The data were adjusted by using Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (DMRT) and Least Significant Difference (LSD) test at 5% and 1% level.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The nymphal and oviposition periods, fecundity and rate of aphid multiplication are considered fairly resistant to attack and recommended for use in breeding for resistance. Flowering and pod formation stage is the optimum time for aphid infestation. The aphid developed faster on the inflorescence then on other parts of the plant and consistently produced more offspring on the leaves and inflorescence on the pods.



Plate II. Multiplication of aphid on different varieties of mustard plants infestation covered with nylon bags

## Percentage of aphid infested plant

The overall infestation was low throughout the cropping season (Table 1). The lowest plant infestation was recorded in the variety MM014-02wf (1.79%) followed by the variety MM012-02ys (2.23%) and the variety Binasarisha-4. The highest infestation was recorded in the variety MM011-02rd (6.01%).

#### Number of aphids per plant

The number of aphids per plant among the mutants/variety (Table 1). The lowest aphid population was found in the variety MM014-02wf (11.13) followed by Binasarisha-4 (17.93) and variety MM012-02ys (11.8), the highest number of

Assessment of several mustard varieties resistance to mustard aphid, Lipaphis erysimi (Kalt.)

aphid population was recorded in the variety MM011-02rd which was at par with the variety MM2-16-98. On the basis of mean aphid population and multiplication index, susceptibility index was recorded and proved tolerant to the mustard aphid.

The multiplication rate of aphids on the plants after 10 days of initial infestation the multiplication of aphids were significantly high on mustard varieties with means ranged from 40.00-157.7 aphids/plant (Table 2). The highest number of aphids were observed in the variety MM011-02rb (157.7 aphids/plant) and the lowest number of aphids were observed in the variety MM014-02wf (40 aphids/plant) followed by Binasarisha-4 (66.67 aphids/plant) with non significant difference (Table 2). Aphid population increase in the variety MM012-02ys (81.33 aphids/plant) was also significantly lower than the variety MM2-16-98 (140.7 aphids/plant) and MM011-02rb (157.7 aphids/plant). Prasad (2009) reported that 53 varieties, belonging to different species of oilseed *Brassica* were screened for resistance to the aphid, *L. erysimi* (Kalt.) under field conditions. At 85 days after sowing (DAS), the mean aphid infestation index (MAII) of each variety revealed that none was free from aphid infestation. At 100 days after sowing, the infestation further increased in all the varieties, which the MAII ranging from 2.1 in T27 to 5.0 in several *B. juncea, B. campestris* and *B. tournefortil* lines. Some of the lines died due to severe aphid infestation.

The data in (Table 3) revealed that comparatively highest plant height (117.7 cm) was observed in the variety MM014-02wf followed by the variety Binasarisha-4 (111.27 cm) and the lowest plant height was observed in the variety MM011-02rb (91.57 cm) which was followed by the variety MM2-16-98 (99.30cm). The highest number of branches per plant was observed in the check variety Binasarisha-4 (7.23). The lowest number of branches per plant was recorded in the variety MM011-02rb (5.99). Highest number of pods per plant was recorded in the variety Binasarisha-4 (91.30) followed by the variety MM014-02wf (87.02) which had less infested by aphids (Table 3).

Table 1. Percentage of aphid infested	plants and aphid	population on different	t variety of mustar	l under field condition
	r	r - r		

Variety	Percentage of aphid infested	Number of aphids per	
	plants	plant	
MM014-02wf	1.79c	11.13b	
Binasarisha-4	2.70c	17.93b	
MM012-02ys	2.23c	11.8b	
MM2-16-98	4.18b	35.07a	
MM011-02rb	6.01a	40.93a	
Level of significance	**	**	
LSD value (0.05)	1.704	7.765	
Coefficient of variance (%)	17.64	9.83	

\*\* significant at 1% level

Means followed by different letter(s) in a column are significantly different at 1% level

Table 2. Multiplication of mustard aphid on different variety after 10 days of release at net house

Variety	Number of aphids/plant
MM014-02wf	40.00c
Binasarisha-4	66.67bc
MM012-02ys	81.33b
MM2-16-98	140.7a
MM011-02rb	157.7a
Level of significance	**
LSD value (0.05)	29.90
Coefficient of variance (%)	16.32

\*\* significant at 1% level

Means followed by different letter(s) in a column are significantly different at 1% level

The lowest number of pods per plant was found in variety MM2-16-98 (57.07). The highest pod length (6.03cm) was found in the variety MM014-02wf followed by the variety Binasarisha-4 (5.78 cm) and MM012-02ys (5.33 cm) which were less infested by mustard aphid. The lowest pod length was observed in the variety MM011-02rb (4.04 cm). Highest number of seeds per pod (30.53) was observed in variety MM014-02wf followed by the variety Binasarisha-4 (28.71) which had comparatively lower aphid infestation (Table 3). The lowest number of seeds per pod was found in the variety MM011-20rb (24.29). The varieties showed significant differences among themselves with respect to seed yield (Table 3). The highest seed yield was observed in the variety MM014-02 wf (1816 kg/ha). The lowest seed yield was observed in the variety MM011-02rb. Similar results were also reported by Sing and Lal (1997) who observed that the percent reduction in yield was proportional to the level of population of mustard aphid and every increase of one mustard aphid beyond 29.5 and 34.6/10 cm terminal shoot/plant resulted in reduction of 1.4 kg/ha

#### Mamun et al.

during rabi 1989-90 and 1.0 kg/ha during 1990-91, respectively. The taller genotypes of mustard had comparatively less aphid infestation than those of dwarf genotypes.

Variety	Plant Height (cm)	Number of Branches per plant	Number of Pods per plant	Pod length (cm)	Number of seeds per pod	Yield of seeds (kg/ha)
MM014-02wf	117.7	7.03	87.02a	6.03a	30.53a	1816a
Binasarisha-4	111.27	7.23	91.30a	5.78ab	28.71ab	1607ab
MM012-02ys	103.29	6.89	81.09b	5.33ab	26.23bc	12.98cd
MM2-16-98	99.03	6.09	57.07c	4.59bc	25.11bc	1360bc
MM011-02rb	91.57	5.99	79.37bc	4.04c	24.29c	1078d
Level of significance	NS	NS	**	*	*	**
LSD value (0.05)	-	-	5.48	1.18	3.49	265.1
Coefficient of variance (%)	23.69	13.07	3.52	12.26	6.88	9.83

Table 3. Yield contributing characters of mustard influenced by mustard aphid, *L. erysimi* (Kalt.)

\*\* significant at 5% level

\*\* significant at 1% level

Means followed by different letter(s) in a column are significantly different at 1% level

#### CORRELATION

A negative correlation was observed between plant height and aphid incidence indication that the shorter varieties had suffered more severe aphid attack than the taller varieties. Significant negative correlations were also observed between aphid attack and number of seeds per pod. A significant negative relationship was found between aphid infestation and yield.

## CONCLUSION

Results obtained from the field, net house and laboratory experiments reflected that the moderately resistant or less susceptible varieties had lower percentage of infested plants and aphid population. Taller plants, higher number of branches, more number of pods, greater number of seeds per pod and higher pod length were less infested by aphid attack. Significant difference were found among the variety in respect to number of branches per plant, multiplication of aphid and yield. The findings of this studies indicated that the use of aphid resistant varieties have number of advantages, as the resistance is inherent in the plant itself with no extra cost involved in the control measures. Therefore, the use of resistant varieties such as MM014-02wf, Binasarisha-4 are the most effective and important weapon for controlling aphids without insecticides application and thereby play an important role in Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Programme. Hence, the farmer will be suggested to grow aforesaid resistant or less susceptible and high yielding varieties. This will help them to ensure higher yield of mustard and at the same, the risk of health hazard and environment pollution may be avoided.

### REFERENCES

Alam SM, lqbal TM, Amin SM, GaffarAM (1988) Krishitarik Fasaler Utpadan O Unanayon, Volo. l: (Book on Agronomy written in Bengali.) Papirus prss, 142 Arambag Dhaka. 300.

BBS (2006) Monthly Statistical Bulletin of Bangladesh of Bureau Statistics (BBS) Statistics Division, Ministry of Planning, Government of People's Republic of Bangladesh. 7(1), 15-18.

Hamid S, Ahmad S (1980) Biological assessment of three different insecticides against *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kaltenbach) on winter oil-seed crops. In: Proc. 1<sup>st</sup> Pakistan Congr. Zool., 30 April- 1 May, 1980. Quaid-E-Azam Univ., Islamabad, Pakistan. 283-290pp.

Hobner E (1972) Plant resistance to insects. Agric. Sci. Rev. 10(2), 1-11.

Husain M, Begum M (2009) A number of insect pests attack mustard. J. Agric. Res. Vol.46.

Kher S, Rataul HS (1991) Investigation on the mechanism of resistance in oliferous *Brassica* against mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kaltenbach). *India J. Ent.*, 7, 141-154.

Morzia B, Huq SB (1991) Evaluation of different of genotypes Indian Mustard (*Brassica juncia*) for their reaction to mustard aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi*). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 61(3), 201-213.

Prasad SK (2009) Population dynamics of mustard aphid on different Brassica. J. Plant Protection Sci. 1(1), 83-89.

Rouf PMA, Kabir KH (1997) Economic efficacy of some insecticides for the control of mustard aphid, *Lipaphis* erysimi (Kalt.) Bangladesh J. Ent. 7(1&2), 7.

Setokuchi O (1983) Seasonal prevalence of *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) and *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) (Homoptera:Aphididae) Kangoshima prefecture. *Japan J. Appl. Ent. Zool.* 21, 219-223.

Shahjahan M (1994) Field and laboratory eveluation of some *Brassica* germplasm for resistance to aphid. M.Sc.(Ag) Thesis, Depatment of Entomology, Bangladesh Agril. Univ., Mymensingh. 90p.

Singh SS, Lal MN (1997) Correlation between population of mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) and reduction in grain yield of mustard, *Indian J. Ent.* 59(4), 435-436.